



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,012	01/24/2001	Thomas William Ellenby	215.39	5540
7590	09/09/2004		EXAMINER	
Joseph Page GeoVector Corporation 601 Minnesota Street #212 San Francisco, CA 94107			SUN, XIUQIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fd

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/769,012	ELLENBY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Xiuqin Sun	2863	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) John Barlow. (3) ____.
- (2) Joseph E page. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative clarified which claims would read upon elected Species I. An office action on the merits is forthcoming.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 08/24/04
 Examiner's signature, if required

Page Lohr Associates

P.O. Box 757
La Jolla, CA 92038

Patent It!™

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Supervisor Barlow, John
Examiner Sun, Xiuqin
GAU 5540

via fax: (571) 273-2269

August 24, 2004

Examiners Barlow and Sun,

To further clarify our election, please take into account the following notes. Figure 1 illustrates a block diagram of the most general version of the system. You will note that it does restrict implementation of either the 'position determining means' nor the 'attitude determining means' to any diagram block (subsystem). This is because either of these subsystems may be implemented wholly within the mobile unit, or partly within the mobile unit, or entirely within the wireless network. Figures 3 and 4 are provided to illustrate this concept so the reader will have a full understanding that these subsystems may be distributed systems and are not necessarily limited to be implemented in the mobile unit.

Only claims 27 and 28, 50 and 51 are drawn to this distinction. The remaining claims fit perfectly within the single general class set forth as claim 1. Accordingly, we now request examination of claims 1 – 26; 29 – 49; 52- 129.

Sincerely,



Joseph Page

Page Lohr Associates, P.O. Box 757 La Jolla, CA 92038
858 699 6015; jpage@integrityvip.com